

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,204	08/08/2001	Michael J. Kirkwood	50325-0531	4362
29989	7590 03/25/200	4	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 1600 WILLOW STREET			EHICHIOYA, FRED I	
SAN JOSE, CA 95125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		peq				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/925,204	KIRKWOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 46</u> is/are pending in the applica	Claim(s) <u>1 - 46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27 - 31, 33, 37, 38</u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 - 31, 33, 37, 39, 41, 43 and 45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 26, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44 a</u>	and 46 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1 - 46 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2001</u> is/a	ire: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o	ojected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received.  Itents have been received in A  priority documents have beer  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-940)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

**Art Unit: 2172** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because Figs. 4C and 4F are not of sufficient quality to permit examination. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Group I. Claims 1 26, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 46, drawn to data store in one or more content management, classified in class 707, subclass 103.
  - Group II. Claims 27 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43 and 45, drawn to a method of arranging contents in a web page, classified in class 707, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions listed as Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations

Art Unit: 2172

disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention has separate utility as follows:

Group I has separate utility such as an object-oriented data structure; its maintenance and organization in memory.

Group II has separate utility such as management of distributed database data and file access and retrieval, and retrieval of database data and files from a centralized or remote site. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During telephone conversation with Christopher Palermo, Attorney for the Applicant, Registration Number 42,056 on February 26, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1 – 26, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 46.Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in reply to this Office action. Claims 27 – 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43, and 45 withdrawn from further

Art Unit: 2172

consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2172

5. Claims 1 – 26, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,085,187 issued to Gary Lee Carter at al (hereinafter "Carter") in view of U.S. Patent 6,230,173 issued to Patrick J. Ferrel et al (hereinafter "Ferrel").

Regarding claim 1, Carter teaches a method of relating data stored in one or more content management systems for an enterprise, the method comprising the steps of:

managing a vocabulary database comprising a plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts among names in an enterprise-specific vocabulary and a plurality of data structures describing relationships among the atomic concepts (see column 5, lines 4 – 16; "concepts" are nodes, terminals or roots and branches in a tree structure; and "atom concepts" are data items); and

wherein the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts include a first information object data structure comprising data indicating a first reference to a first chunk in the one or more content management systems (see column 1, lines 42 – 65), and wherein the plurality of data structures describing relationships include a first relationship data structure describing a relationship between the first information object data structure and a second concept data structure of the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts (see column 4, lines 23 – 38).

Carter does not explicitly teach managing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations.

Art Unit: 2172

Ferrel teaches managing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations (see column 2, lines 20 - 32 and column 8, lines 1 - 13; "information chucks" or "chucks" are information objects or objects).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Ferrel with the teaching of Carter wherein the objects that manage the content always have direct incremental access to their piece of storage. The motivation is that there is better performance when incremental objects are written to the storage.

Regarding claim 2, Carter teaches the second concept is different than the first information object (see column 4, lines 53 – 54).

Regarding claim 3, Carter teaches the first relationship data structure describes the relationship involving a third concept data structure of the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts (see column 4, lines 55 – 62).

Regarding claim 4, Ferrel teaches the relationships include relationships of a child-of relationship type; a hierarchy is a subset of the atomic concepts related by a series of one or more relationships of the child-of relationship type to a root concept of the atomic concepts; and the second concept data structure describes a concept that

Art Unit: 2172

belongs to a particular hierarchy having a particular root concept (see column 33, lines 54 – 63).

Regarding claim 5, Carter teaches the particular root concept is one of an information type root concept, a document type root concept, a product type root concept, a technology type root concept, a solution type root concept, and a user type root concept (see column 2, lines 18 – 33).

Regarding claim 6, Carter teaches the first relationship data structure describes the relationship involving a third concept data structure of the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts; and the third concept data structure describes a concept that belongs to a different hierarchy having a different root concept (see column 4, lines 32 - 38).

Regarding claim 7, Ferrel teaches the first chunk is one of a block of text, an application, a query for a database, a vector graphic, an image, audio data, and video data (see column 1, lines 22 – 40).

Regarding claim 8, Carter teaches the first reference comprises one of a file name, a network resource address, a universal resource locator (URL) address, a record identification in a predetermined database, a record identification in a predetermined content management system (see column 12, lines 36 – 44).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 9, Ferrel teaches the plurality of information chunks reside in a plurality of content management systems; and said step of managing the plurality of information chunks further comprises employing a data integration tool to retrieve the first chunk from a content management system that resides on a remote platform accessible through a network (see column8, lines 23 - 38).

Regarding claim 10, Ferrel teaches the step of generating and storing a subset of the plurality of information chunks into a content cache based at least in part on data in a second relationship data structure describing a second relationship of the relationships (see column 1, lines 66 - 67 and column 2, lines 1 - 8).

Regarding claim 11, Carter teaches the step of generating and storing a subset of the vocabulary database into a concept cache based at least in part on data in the second relationship data structure (see column 5, lines 9 – 16).

Regarding claim 12, Ferrel teaches the step of generating a page for sending over a network to a client process based on data in the concept cache and the content cache (see column 30, lines 11 - 35 and column 37, lines 36 - 48).

Regarding claim 13, Ferrel teaches the page is organized based at least in part on data in a relationship data structure in the concept cache (see column 16, lines 52 – 58).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 14, Ferrel teaches the page displays information based on at least one information chunk in the content cache (see column 38, lines 1 - 10).

Regarding claim 15, Ferrel teaches the step of editing at least one of the concept cache and the content cache (see column 27, lines 39 - 50).

Regarding claim 16, Ferrel teaches said step of generating and storing the subset of the plurality of information chunks further comprising combining at least two information chunks of the plurality of information chunks into a single information chunk in the content cache (see column 8, lines 1 - 13).

Regarding claim 17, Ferrel teaches wherein the at least two information chunks have corresponding information object data structures which are related to corresponding concept data structures; and at least two of the corresponding concept data structures are related by the second relationship (see column 6, lines 36 – 67).

Regarding claim 18, Ferrel teaches step of generating and storing a subset of the vocabulary database into a concept cache further comprising de-normalizing the concept cache to improve speed of retrieval by allowing a concept data structure for a concept that participates in more than one relationship to be stored more than once in the concept cache (see column 31, lines 53 – 64).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 19, Ferrel teaches managing the plurality of information chunks comprising employing a first set of software tools including at least one of tools for defining the first information object data structure type and instance and for defining the first relationship data structure type and instance (see column 10, lines 27 - 67).

Regarding claim 20, Ferrel teaches the steps of generating and storing a first subset of the plurality of information chunks into a first content cache for managing content of a Web page (see column 6, lines 47 – 62).

Regarding claim 21, Ferrel teaches the step of managing the first subset by employing a second set of software tools including at least one of tools for editing the first information object data structure, for editing the first relationship data structure, for populating the first content cache, for populating a first concept cache, for retrieving from the first content cache, for combining two or more information chunks into a new information chunk, and for de-normalizing the first concept cache to improve speed of retrieval by allowing a concept data structure for a concept that participates in more than one relationship to be stored more than once in the first concept cache (see column 10, lines 27 – 45).

Regarding 22, Ferrel teaches wherein the first subset of the plurality of information chunks excludes information chunks that have become obsolete (see column 11, lines 47 – 58).

Art Unit: 2172

Page 11

Regarding claim 23, Ferrel teaches the first subset of the plurality of information chunks excludes information chunks that have not been released (see column 15, lines 43 - 47).

Regarding claim 24, Ferrel teaches the steps of generating and storing a second subset of the first content cache into a second content cache for staging content for the Web page (see column 24, lines 10 – 59).

Regarding claim 25, Ferrel teaches the step of managing the second subset by employing a third set of software tools including at least one of tools for editing the first information object data structure, for populating the second content cache, for populating a secondconcept cache, for ensuring each information chunk in the second content cache has an information object data structure and a relationship to another concept in the second concept cache, and for forming a search index for the second content cache (see column 10, lines 27 – 45).

Regarding claim 26, Ferrel teaches the step of replicating the second content cache to one or more Web servers for providing content to a Web page generating process on each of the one or more Web servers (see column 12, lines 51 - 67).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding claim 32, Carter teaches a method of relating data stored in one or more content management systems for an enterprise, the method comprising the steps of :

managing a vocabulary database comprising a plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts among names in an enterprise-specific vocabulary and a plurality of data structures describing relationships among the atomic concepts (see column 5, lines 4 - 16, "atomic concepts" are data items or items).

Carter does not explicitly teach managing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations; and arranging content in a document based at least in part on data in the vocabulary database, the content based at least in part on an information chunk of the plurality of information chunks.

Ferrel teaches managing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations (see column 2, lines 20 - 32 and column 8, lines 1 - 13); and

arranging content in a document based at least in part on data in the vocabulary database, the content based at least in part on an information chunk of the plurality of information chunks (see column 6, lines 36 - 67 and column 7, lines 1 - 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/925,204 Page 13

Art Unit: 2172

was made to combine teaching of Ferrel with the teaching of Carter wherein the objects that manage the content always have direct incremental access to their piece of storage. The motivation is that there is better performance when incremental objects are written to the storage.

Claim 34 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a computer-readable medium rather than a method and therefore rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Claim 36 is essentially the same as claim 32 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a computer-readable medium rather than a method and therefore rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Claim 38 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a system rather than a method and therefore rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Claim 40 is essentially the same as claim 32 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a system rather than a method and therefore rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Regarding claim 42, Carter teaches a computer system for relating data stored in one or more content management systems for an enterprise, the system comprising:

a vocabulary database comprising a plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts among names in an enterprise-specific vocabulary and a plurality of data structures describing relationships among the atomic concepts (see column 5, lines 4 – 16), wherein the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts include a first information object data structure comprising data indicating a first reference to a first chunk in the one or more content management systems (see column 1, lines 42 – 62), and the plurality of data structures describing relationships include a first relationship data structure describing a relationship between the first information object data structure and a second concept data structure of the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts (see column 4, lines 23 – 38).

Carter does not explicitly teach a computer-readable medium for storing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations; and one or more processors configured for managing the plurality of information chunks, and managing the vocabulary database.

Ferrel teaches a computer-readable medium for storing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations (see column 2, lines 20 - 32 and column 8, lines 1 - 13); and

Art Unit: 2172

one or more processors configured for managing the plurality of information chunks, and managing the vocabulary database (see column 12, lines 4-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Ferrel with the teaching of Carter wherein the objects that manage the content always have direct incremental access to their piece of storage. The motivation is that there is better performance when incremental objects are written to the storage.

Claim 44 is essentially the same as claim 32 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a computer system rather than a method and therefore rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

Regarding claim 46, Carter teaches a method of retrieving and delivering related data that is stored in one or more content management systems for an enterprise, the method comprising the steps of:

creating and storing a vocabulary database comprising a plurality of data structures describing a plurality of atomic concepts among names in an enterprise-specific vocabulary and a plurality of data structures describing relationships among the atomic concepts (see column 5, lines 4-16, "concepts" are nodes, terminals or roots and branches of a tree structure; "atomic concepts" are data items or items), wherein the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts include a first information object data structure comprising data indicating a first reference to a first chunk in the one or more content management systems (see column 1, lines 42-62), and wherein

Art Unit: 2172

the plurality of data structures describing relationships include a first relationship data structure describing a relationship between the first information object data structure and a second concept data structure of the plurality of data structures describing atomic concepts (see column 4, lines 23 – 53), wherein the second concept data structure is associated with one or more second chunks of information (see column 4, lines 54 – 62);

Carter does not explicitly teach creating and storing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations; and receiving a query for a first concept that is among the plurality of atomic concepts; selecting the first chunk and all of the second chunks that are associated with the second concept data stricture and related to the first chunk by the relationship described in the first relationship data structure; returning the first chunk and all of the second chunks for use in one or more electronic documents relating to the first concept.

Ferrel teaches creating and storing a plurality of information chunks in one or more content management systems, each chunk of the plurality of information chunks comprising a unit of data for storage and retrieval operations (see column 2, lines 20 – 32 and column 8, lines 1 – 13); "information chucks" or "chucks" are information objects or objects; and

receiving a query for a first concept that is among the plurality of atomic concepts (see column 20, lines 24 - 31);

Art Unit: 2172

Page 17

selecting the first chunk and all of the second chunks that are associated with the second concept data stricture and related to the first chunk by the relationship described in the first relationship data structure (see column 20, lines 1 - 6 and column 24, lines 25 - 42);

returning the first chunk and all of the second chunks for use in one or more electronic documents relating to the first concept (see column 20, lines 12 – 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Ferrel with the teaching of Carter wherein the objects that manage the content always have direct incremental access to their piece of storage. The motivation is that there is better performance when incremental objects are written to the storage.

## Page 18

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred I. Ehichioya whose telephone number is 703-305-8039. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred I. Ehichioya Examiner Art Unit 2172 March 19, 2004

> SHAHID ALAM SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER